





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/289,600	04/12/1999	AKIRA YAMAGUCHI	Q53967	8833
7:	590 05/11/2004	EXAMINER		
	IION ZINN MACPE	LESPERANCE, JEAN E		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200383202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, 50 200505202		2674	29

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/289,600	YAMAGUCHI ET AI	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jean E Lesperance	2674	···				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE Part - Exter - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-29,31 and 35-38</u> is/are pending in t	• •						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·								
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15, 18, 21-29, 31, and 35-38</u> is/are rejected.							
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	ciconon requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* S	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
, ا		priority under 55 0.0.0	35 120 allaioi 121.					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO					

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-29, 31, and 35-37 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15, 18, 21-29, 31 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over patent # 5,739,808 ("Suga et al.") in view of patent 5,872,554 ("Chang et al.").

Regarding claims 1, 13, and 38, Suga et al. teach a data of one pixel shown in FIG. 4 can be displayed in a three-gradation display (level 0 to level 2) as shown in FIG. 5 (an image can be displayed with respective three-levels for each of RGB colors) by one pixel in FIG. 3 (original two pixels in the horizontal direction can be used as one pixel) (col.4, li.34-39) corresponding to a display device comprising a plurality of picture elements; driver IC at in formation line side and driver IC at scan line side Fig. 1 (32 and 33) corresponding to a cell signal generating means which generates, the output value is determined as three values 0, 128 and 255. For example, in a case where the input data is value 100 as shown in FIG. 9, this value 100 is larger than the threshold 85 and

Art Unit: 2674

less than the threshold 170, therefore, an output value becomes 128. As result, a difference value -28 is appeared as an error between an input value and an output value (Col. 5, Li 6-12) corresponding to the average of the output luminance of all cell within each picture element, the horizontal resolution of the display device is 4 times as large as that of the input image and the vertical resolution of the display device is twice as large as that of the input image, data of one pixel shown in FIG. 7 can be displayed in a nine-gradation display (level 0 to level 8) as shown in FIG. 8 corresponding to wherein each picture element of said display device corresponds to a picture element, the output value is determined as three values 0, 128 and 255. For example, in a case where the input data is value 100 as shown in FIG. 9, this value 100 is larger than the threshold 8 5 and less than the threshold 170, therefore, an output value becomes 128. As result, a difference value -28 is appeared as an error between an input value and an output value (Col. 5, Li 6-12) corresponding to wherein the output luminance of the plurality of picture elements of said display device express said image. Accordingly, Suga et al. teach all the claimed limitations as recited in claims 1, 13, and 38 with the exception of providing a monochromatic image display but teach that by utilizing thus feature, up to today, the FLCD has been widely applied to a display of DTP system or the like.

However, Chang et al. teach a method for simulating an N-level gray-scale image on a monochrome LCD screen comprises three steps (col. 2, lines 37-39) corresponding to a monochromatic image display system.

It would have been obvious to utilize the monochrome LCD screen as taught by Chang et al. in the display control method disclosed by Suga et al. because this would simulate gray scale images on the screen without presenting blinking effect to the user's eyes.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Suga et al. teach the output value is determined as three values 0, 128 and 255. For example, in a case where the input data is value 100 as shown in FIG. 9, this value 100 is larger than the threshold 85 and less than the threshold 170, therefore, an output value becomes 128. As result, a difference value -28 is appeared as an error between an input value and an output value (Col. 5, Li 6-12) corresponding to the cell signal generating means generates cell signals so that the output luminance of cells and frames of the respective picture element of said display device and it is inherent for a picture element in a display device to be substantially uniform.

Regarding claim 3, Suga et al. teach a three-gradation display (Figs. 4 and 5) corresponding to the output luminance of the cells of the respective element of the display change at the inclination according to the gradient vector of picture elements around the respective picture element corresponding to the cells.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Suga et al. a driver IC at information line side 32 and driver IC at scan line side 33 (Fig. 1) corresponding to the cell signal generating means intensity-modulates and time-modulates input signal levels to the respective cells independently of each other.

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 6, Suga et al. teach a frame data read out from the frame memory 102 is multi-value halftone processed by the halftone process unit 103 to become the gradation number which is obtained from the main processing unit 106 (Col.4, lines 60-63) corresponding to the cell signal generating means time-modulates in put signal levels to the respective cells by frame.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Suga et al. teach the horizontal resolution of the display device is four times as large as that of the input image and the vertical resolution of the display device is twice as large as that of the input image, data of one pixel shown in FIG. 7 can be displayed in a nine-gradation display (level 0 to level 8) as shown in FIG. 8 (an image can be displayed with respective nine-levels for each RGB color) by one pixel shown in FIG. 6 (original four pixels in the horizontal direction and two pixels in the vertical direction can be used as one pixel) (Col.4, li.47-56) corresponding to the maximum number of tones which can be expressed by each cell per one frame is not smaller than 64 (6 bits) and 256 (8 bits).

Regarding claim 9, Suga et al. teach FIGS. 14A to 14D are views for explaining the conversion for converting data into the ON/OFF data of the binary display device in a case where the gradation number is 3 as mentioned above. Among the input image data shown in FIG. 14A, the value of the input image data of a pixel x is 200. When this data is converted into data of 255 by executing a ternary halftone process, since this case corresponds to level 2 of a 3 -gradation expression shown in FIG. 5, data is converted into such binary data as the both of two sub-pixels of the binary display device come to be lighted as shown in FIG. 14C (refer to FIG. 14D) (Col.5, Li.38-48)

Art Unit: 2674

corresponding to a tone number conversion means which carries out a tone number conversion processing on an input original monochromatic image signal, thereby generating said monochromatic image signal, wherein a number of tones represented by said monochromatic image signal is no greater than a number of tones which can be expressed by each respective picture element of said display device.

Regarding claim 11, Suga et al. teach the output value is determined as three values 0, 128 and 255 (Colo. 5, lines. 6-7) corresponding to the display device expresses each picture element by three pixels.

Regarding claim 12, Chang et al. teach a monochrome liquid crystal display (abstract).

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Suga et al. teach Fig. 7 where two of the cells are the same and the difference level is higher than the next cell corresponding to the maximum output level of one of said at least two cells is substantially the same as the output level difference per one level of the other.

Regarding claims 18 and 29, Chang et al. teach a pulse at the start of the scanning of each row, and the SCP signal for shift clock synchronization that provides a series pulses for the synchronization of the display of each (page Fig. 5) (column 5, lines 3 6-42); wherein each cell of said series of cells emits light in the same color(Fig. 1), wherein the output luminance of the plurality of picture elements express said monochromatic image (column 2, lines 34-55). It is well know in the art a display device may include an output luminance of the cell, the flat panel, and a CIE chromaticity diagram.

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 21-23, Suga et al. teach a fine and excellent displaying can be realized with a large-size screen. By utilizing thus feature, up to today, the FLCD has been widely applied to a display of DTP (desk top publishing) system or the like (Col. 1, Li. 52-5 5) which means that the maximum luminance of each picture element can be in the range as claimed, a liquid crystal panel, and an organic EL panel.

Regarding claims 24-28, Chang et al. teach a pixel at the location (X,Y) on an (N+I)-level gray scale image, where X and Y represent the coordinates of a particular dot in a plane of the original gray scale image and N represent the level of the gray scale image, the corresponding dots on the N pages are to be assigned "black" or "white" according to the following rule (where Mod refers to the remainder after performing a division operation) Gray levels 0, 1, 2, m (Col.3, Li.45-66).

Regarding claim 31, Suga et al. teach an image can be displayed with respective three-levels for each of RGB colors by one pixel in FIG. 3 (original two pixels in the horizontal direction can be used as one pixel) where it is well known in the art for color display element to be formed of polyethylene terephthalate colored with anthraquinone dye.

Regarding claims 35-37, Chang et al. teach a monochrome LCD screen that is used to simulate a 4-level gray scale display. If a pixel on the original image has a gray level 0 (black) and can also be color blue, the corresponding dots (sub-pixels) on the three pages are all black (same color) (column 1, lines 38-52) and where the number of tones represented by said input signal monochromatic image signal is greater than said number of tones represented by said monochromatic image signal.

Art Unit: 2674

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16, 17, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication allowable subject matter: the claimed invention is directed to a monochromatic image display system. Claim 16 identifies a uniquely distinct feature "the display device is a liquid crystal panel provided with monochromatic filters which are different transmittance and respectively formed on said at least two cells for each picture element so that the maximum output levels of said at least two cells become different from each other. Claim 17 identifies a uniquely distinct feature "the disl2laY device is an organic EL panel in which said at least two cells for each picture element emit light in the same color at different luminance for a given signal level, wherein said given signal level indicates an output luminance of the respective picture element having said at least two cells'. Claim 19 identifies a uniquely distinct feature "the display device further comprises at least one of elements including substrate, a face plate, a diffuser panel. a color filter, a diff-user film, a collimator film, a prism film and a polarizing film which are colored to a predetermined color". Claim 20 identifies a uniquely distinct feature "an area modulation means which controls the output luminance of each picture element by selectively turning on and off input signals to resi2ective cells, for each picture element, independently of each other. a time modulation means which drives the respective cells for each picture element in a

Art Unit: 2674

time division system, and an intensity modulation means which controls input signal levels to the respective cells for each picture element independently of each other wherein the cells are driven so that the maximum luminance of each picture element is in the range o 100cd/m2 to 10000cd/ni2".

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 3-18-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argued that the prior art does not teach "each cell expresses tones in one or more levels". Examiner disagrees with the applicant that the prior art does not teach "each cell expresses tones in one or more levels because Suga et al., one of the prior art used, teaches a data of one pixel shown in FIG. 4 can be displayed in a three-gradation display (level 0 to level 2) as shown in FIG. 5 (an image can be displayed with respective three-levels for each of RGB colors) by one pixel in FIG. 3 (original two pixels in the horizontal direction can be used as one pixel) (col.4, li.34-39). The applicant has to amend the claims to be clear and more specific to overcome the pertinent prior art. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2674

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean Lesperance whose telephone number is (703) 308-6413. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday between 8:00AM and 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2674

Jean Lesperance

Date 5-4-2003

Art Unit 2674

RICHARD HJERPE

Page 11

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600